## Learning Goals

- Understand the design idea of skip lists
- Carry out more involved probabilistic runtime analysis using Chernoff bound and union bound
- Understand the idea of SkipNet in Peer-to-Peer systems
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## Skip List

- Problem with storing ordered data with linked list: Find takes $O(n)$ time.
- Imagine building faster links among the nodes:
- At the bottom level $L_{0}$, we have the original linked list, sorted;
- One level above, at $L_{1}$, we have a linked list storing every other node, also sorted, with $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ nodes;
- One level above, at $L_{2}$, we have a linked list storing every four node from $L_{0}$, or every other node from $L_{1}$, also sorted, with $\lfloor n / 4\rfloor$ nodes, etc..
- Each copy of the node in $L_{i}$ stores pointers to its copies in $L_{i-1}$ and $L_{i+1}$ (if they exist), and also the nodes the precede and follow it in $L_{i}$.


## Skip List: Illustration



Image credit: Mike Lam at James Madison University

## Find in Skip List

- Now Find takes time $O(\log n)$.
- The highest level is $L_{H}$, where $H=\lceil\log n\rceil$.


## Find in Skip List

- Now Find takes time $O(\log n)$.
- The highest level is $L_{H}$, where $H=\lceil\log n\rceil$.
- To find a key $x$, first walk in $L_{H}$ as far as we can, finding the largest node whose key is still less than $x$;


## Find in Skip List

- Now Find takes time $O(\log n)$.
- The highest level is $L_{H}$, where $H=\lceil\log n\rceil$.
- To find a key $x$, first walk in $L_{H}$ as far as we can, finding the largest node whose key is still less than $x$;
- Then walk down one level from that copy,and continue walking till we find again the node in level $L_{H-1}$ with the largest key that is still smaller than $x$;


## Find in Skip List

- Now Find takes time $O(\log n)$.
- The highest level is $L_{H}$, where $H=\lceil\log n\rceil$.
- To find a key $x$, first walk in $L_{H}$ as far as we can, finding the largest node whose key is still less than $x$;
- Then walk down one level from that copy, and continue walking till we find again the node in level $L_{H-1}$ with the largest key that is still smaller than $x$;
- Repeat, until we reach the node with $x$ in level $L_{0}$.
- In actual implementation, we may store only the keys in levels other than $L_{0}$, and store the actual content only in nodes of $L_{0}$.


## Find in Skip List

- Now Find takes time $O(\log n)$.
- The highest level is $L_{H}$, where $H=\lceil\log n\rceil$.
- To find a key $x$, first walk in $L_{H}$ as far as we can, finding the largest node whose key is still less than $x$;
- Then walk down one level from that copy, and continue walking till we find again the node in level $L_{H-1}$ with the largest key that is still smaller than $x$;
- Repeat, until we reach the node with $x$ in level $L_{0}$.
- In actual implementation, we may store only the keys in levels other than $L_{0}$, and store the actual content only in nodes of $L_{0}$.
- Problem: Insert and Delete are combersome.
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- Idea: Use randomization to construct the upper levels.
- When we insert a new node, after we find its position in $L_{0}$ and inserting it there, we toss a coin, and with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ insert a copy in $L_{1}$, otherwise stop;
- If we made a copy in $L_{1}$, then toss another coin, insert with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ a copy to level $L_{2}$, etc.
- The expected number of copies we insert for each node is 2 .
- We just need to show that this randomized construction yields similar performance for FIND as the previous deterministic structure.


## Randomized Skip List: ILlustration
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This analysis was performed for a specific node $x$. By the union bound, with probability at least $1-\frac{1}{n}$, no node takes more than $36 \log n$ steps to reach level $H$.
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## Putting Everything Together

Let $A$ be the bad event that the highest level is more than $3 \log n$, and $B$ be the bad event that, starting from some node, out of $X$ steps there are fewer than $3 \log n$ steps.
We have bounded $\operatorname{Pr}[A] \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}$, and $\operatorname{Pr}[B] \leq \frac{1}{n}$.
Now by a final union bound, with probability at least $1-\frac{2}{n}$, there are no nodes beyond level $L_{3 \log n}$ and every node reaches that level within $36 \log n$ steps. So Find takes time $O(\log n)$ for every node with high probability.
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A simulation of a peer-to-peer network

Image credit: mysterium.network

- A request of a node to communicate with another can take $O(n)$ time to traverse the network if we are not careful.
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## Idea of SkipNet

- We can use the idea of skip list to organize nodes in a P2P network.
- Give each node an identifier, similar to the key value of a node in the database.
- Given each node a bitstring of length $O(\log n)$.
- There are multiple levels. Nodes sharing the same prefixes of length $k$ are connected by an (ordered) linked list on level $k$.
- The resulting structure is similar to a skip list, except that on each level there are multiple lists.
- To access a node, we go as far as possible on a high level, then descend and continue.

