

Learning Goals

- Concept of dimensionality reduction
- Correctly state the procedure and guarantee of Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform
- Proof idea of JL-transform

Dimensionality Reduction

- Data points can often live in very high dimensions

Dimensionality Reduction

- Data points can often live in very high dimensions
 - Images

Dimensionality Reduction

- Data points can often live in very high dimensions
 - Images
 - Vector representation of articles

Dimensionality Reduction

- Data points can often live in very high dimensions
 - Images
 - Vector representation of articles
 - Vector representation of words

Dimensionality Reduction

- Data points can often live in very high dimensions
 - Images
 - Vector representation of articles
 - Vector representation of words
- Many algorithms are very slow when run on high dimensional input
 - *Curse of dimensionality*

Dimensionality Reduction

- Data points can often live in very high dimensions
 - Images
 - Vector representation of articles
 - Vector representation of words
- Many algorithms are very slow when run on high dimensional input
 - *Curse of dimensionality*
- *Dimensionality reduction*: Transform data to lower dimensions while preserving information useful for analysis/application

Johnson-Lindenstrauss

- Distances between data points are often meaningful

Johnson-Lindenstrauss

- Distances between data points are often meaningful
- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the ℓ_2 norm of x is

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|x - y\|$ is their ℓ_2 -distance, or Euclidean distance.

Johnson-Lindenstrauss

- Distances between data points are often meaningful
- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the ℓ_2 norm of x is

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|x - y\|$ is their ℓ_2 -distance, or Euclidean distance.

- The *Johnson-Lindenstrauss* transform is a *randomized* dimensionality reduction algorithm that *approximately* preserves Euclidean distances.

JL Statement

Theorem (Johnson-Lindenstrauss)

For arbitrary $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there is $t = O(\log n / \epsilon^2)$ such that there are $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}^t$ with

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon) \|x_j\| &\leq \|y_j\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x_j\|, \quad \forall j \\ (1 - \epsilon) \|x_j - x_{j'}\| &\leq \|y_j - y_{j'}\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x_j - x_{j'}\|, \quad \forall j, j'. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, y_1, \dots, y_n can be computed in polynomial time.

Main Lemma

Lemma

*Distributional JL For any $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$, there is a $t = O(\log(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$ and a random **linear** map $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^t$, such that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|v\| = 1$,*

$$\Pr \left[1 - \epsilon \leq \frac{\|f(v)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \leq 1 + \epsilon \right] \geq 1 - 2\delta.$$

Main Lemma

Lemma

*Distributional JL For any $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$, there is a $t = O(\log(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$ and a random **linear** map $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^t$, such that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|v\| = 1$,*

$$\Pr \left[1 - \epsilon \leq \frac{\|f(v)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \leq 1 + \epsilon \right] \geq 1 - 2\delta.$$

Proof of Theorem using Lemma.

Consider $W = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{x_i - x_j : i \neq j\}$.

Main Lemma

Lemma

*Distributional JL For any $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$, there is a $t = O(\log(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$ and a random **linear** map $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^t$, such that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|v\| = 1$,*

$$\Pr \left[1 - \epsilon \leq \frac{\|f(v)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \leq 1 + \epsilon \right] \geq 1 - 2\delta.$$

Proof of Theorem using Lemma.

Consider $W = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{x_i - x_j : i \neq j\}$. Note $|W| \leq n^2$. Take $\delta = 1/n^3$.

Main Lemma

Lemma

Distributional JL For any $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$, there is a $t = O(\log(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$ and a random *linear* map $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^t$, such that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|v\| = 1$,

$$\Pr \left[1 - \epsilon \leq \frac{\|f(v)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \leq 1 + \epsilon \right] \geq 1 - 2\delta.$$

Proof of Theorem using Lemma.

Consider $W = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{x_i - x_j : i \neq j\}$. Note $|W| \leq n^2$. Take $\delta = 1/n^3$. For each $w \in W$, consider $v = \frac{w}{\|w\|}$.

Main Lemma

Lemma

Distributional JL For any $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$, there is a $t = O(\log(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$ and a random *linear* map $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^t$, such that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|v\| = 1$,

$$\Pr \left[1 - \epsilon \leq \frac{\|f(v)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \leq 1 + \epsilon \right] \geq 1 - 2\delta.$$

Proof of Theorem using Lemma.

Consider $W = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{x_i - x_j : i \neq j\}$. Note $|W| \leq n^2$. Take $\delta = 1/n^3$. For each $w \in W$, consider $v = \frac{w}{\|w\|}$. Consider the event

$$\mathcal{E}_w := \left\{ \frac{\|f(w)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \notin [1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon] \cdot \|w\| \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\|f(v)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \notin [1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon] \right\}.$$

Main Lemma

Lemma

Distributional JL For any $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$, there is a $t = O(\log(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$ and a random **linear** map $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^t$, such that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|v\| = 1$,

$$\Pr \left[1 - \epsilon \leq \frac{\|f(v)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \leq 1 + \epsilon \right] \geq 1 - 2\delta.$$

Proof of Theorem using Lemma.

Consider $W = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{x_i - x_j : i \neq j\}$. Note $|W| \leq n^2$. Take $\delta = 1/n^3$. For each $w \in W$, consider $v = \frac{w}{\|w\|}$. Consider the event

$$\mathcal{E}_w := \left\{ \frac{\|f(w)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \notin [1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon] \cdot \|w\| \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\|f(v)\|}{\sqrt{t}} \notin [1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon] \right\}.$$

Each such event has probability $\leq 2\delta$. By union bound, the probability that none of these happen is $\leq |W| \cdot 2\delta \leq \frac{2}{n}$. □

Gaussian Distribution

- For a random variable X , its *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) is $F_X(x) := \Pr[X \leq x]$.

Gaussian Distribution

- For a random variable X , its *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) is $F_X(x) := \Pr[X \leq x]$.
 - Example: For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $F(x) = x$, for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Gaussian Distribution

- For a random variable X , its *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) is $F_X(x) := \Pr[X \leq x]$.
 - Example: For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $F(x) = x$, for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- For a continuous random variable, the *probability density function* (PDF) is $f_X(x) := \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)$.

Gaussian Distribution

- For a random variable X , its *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) is $F_X(x) := \Pr[X \leq x]$.
 - Example: For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $F(x) = x$, for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- For a continuous random variable, the *probability density function* (PDF) is $f_X(x) := \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)$.
 - For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Gaussian Distribution

- For a random variable X , its *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) is $F_X(x) := \Pr[X \leq x]$.
 - Example: For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $F(x) = x$, for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- For a continuous random variable, the *probability density function* (PDF) is $f_X(x) := \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)$.
 - For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- A random variable is drawn from *Gaussian distribution* (or *Normal distribution*) $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ if its PDF is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}.$$

Gaussian Distribution

- For a random variable X , its *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) is $F_X(x) := \Pr[X \leq x]$.
 - Example: For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $F(x) = x$, for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- For a continuous random variable, the *probability density function* (PDF) is $f_X(x) := \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)$.
 - For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- A random variable is drawn from *Gaussian distribution* (or *Normal distribution*) $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ if its PDF is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}.$$

- In particular, the *standard normal distribution* has PDF $\varphi(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$.

Gaussian Distribution

- For a random variable X , its *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) is $F_X(x) := \Pr[X \leq x]$.
 - Example: For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $F(x) = x$, for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- For a continuous random variable, the *probability density function* (PDF) is $f_X(x) := \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)$.
 - For X uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.
- A random variable is drawn from *Gaussian distribution* (or *Normal distribution*) $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ if its PDF is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}.$$

- In particular, the *standard normal distribution* has PDF $\varphi(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$.
- If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sigma X + \mu \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

Properties of Gaussian Distribution

Theorem

Linear combinations of independent Gaussian variables are still Gaussian.

Properties of Gaussian Distribution

Theorem

Linear combinations of independent Gaussian variables are still Gaussian.

Fact: The moment generating functions $\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$ of a random variable X uniquely determines its CDF.

Properties of Gaussian Distribution

Theorem

Linear combinations of independent Gaussian variables are still Gaussian.

Fact: The moment generating functions $\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$ of a random variable X uniquely determines its CDF.

Proof of Theorem.

We show only the zero mean case. For $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda X}] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \lambda x\right) dx \\ &= \frac{e^{\sigma^2\lambda^2/2}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{\sigma} - \sigma\lambda)^2} dx = e^{\frac{\sigma^2\lambda^2}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

So for independent $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2)$, $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2)$,
 $\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda(X+Y)}] = \mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \cdot \mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] = e^{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)\lambda^2/2}.$



Idea of JL

- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let G_1, \dots, G_d be i.i.d. from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sum_i G_i x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|x\|^2) = \mathcal{G}(0, 1)$.

Idea of JL

- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let G_1, \dots, G_d be i.i.d. from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sum_i G_i x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|x\|^2) = \mathcal{G}(0, 1)$.
- I.e., $\mathbf{E}[(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2] = \mathbf{E}[\sum_i x_i^2] = \|x\|^2 = 1$.

Idea of JL

- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let G_1, \dots, G_d be i.i.d. from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sum_i G_i x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|x\|^2) = \mathcal{G}(0, 1)$.
- I.e., $\mathbf{E}[(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2] = \mathbf{E}[\sum_i x_i^2] = \|x\|^2 = 1$.
- By sampling $(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2$ multiple times, with good probability the average should be around the mean.

Idea of JL

- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let G_1, \dots, G_d be i.i.d. from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sum_i G_i x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|x\|^2) = \mathcal{G}(0, 1)$.
- I.e., $\mathbf{E}[(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2] = \mathbf{E}[\sum_i x_i^2] = \|x\|^2 = 1$.
- By sampling $(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2$ multiple times, with good probability the average should be around the mean.
- If we multiply x by a $t \times d$ matrix A , whose entries are i.i.d. standard Gaussian variables

Idea of JL

- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let G_1, \dots, G_d be i.i.d. from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sum_i G_i x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|x\|^2) = \mathcal{G}(0, 1)$.
- I.e., $\mathbf{E}[(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2] = \mathbf{E}[\sum_i x_i^2] = \|x\|^2 = 1$.
- By sampling $(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2$ multiple times, with good probability the average should be around the mean.
- If we multiply x by a $t \times d$ matrix A , whose entries are i.i.d. standard Gaussian variables
 - The resulting random vector $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^t$ has each coordinate drawn from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Idea of JL

- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let G_1, \dots, G_d be i.i.d. from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sum_i G_i x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|x\|^2) = \mathcal{G}(0, 1)$.
- I.e., $\mathbf{E}[(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2] = \mathbf{E}[\sum_i x_i^2] = \|x\|^2 = 1$.
- By sampling $(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2$ multiple times, with good probability the average should be around the mean.
- If we multiply x by a $t \times d$ matrix A , whose entries are i.i.d. standard Gaussian variables
 - The resulting random vector $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^t$ has each coordinate drawn from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
 - The expectation of $\|Ax\|^2$ is t .

Idea of JL

- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let G_1, \dots, G_d be i.i.d. from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sum_i G_i x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|x\|^2) = \mathcal{G}(0, 1)$.
- I.e., $\mathbf{E}[(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2] = \mathbf{E}[\sum_i x_i^2] = \|x\|^2 = 1$.
- By sampling $(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2$ multiple times, with good probability the average should be around the mean.
- If we multiply x by a $t \times d$ matrix A , whose entries are i.i.d. standard Gaussian variables
 - The resulting random vector $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^t$ has each coordinate drawn from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
 - The expectation of $\|Ax\|^2$ is t .
 - Let $A' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}A$, then $\mathbf{E}[\|A'x\|^2] = 1$.

Idea of JL

- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let G_1, \dots, G_d be i.i.d. from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\sum_i G_i x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|x\|^2) = \mathcal{G}(0, 1)$.
- I.e., $\mathbf{E}[(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2] = \mathbf{E}[\sum_i x_i^2] = \|x\|^2 = 1$.
- By sampling $(\sum_i G_i x_i)^2$ multiple times, with good probability the average should be around the mean.
- If we multiply x by a $t \times d$ matrix A , whose entries are i.i.d. standard Gaussian variables
 - The resulting random vector $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^t$ has each coordinate drawn from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
 - The expectation of $\|Ax\|^2$ is t .
 - Let $A' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}A$, then $\mathbf{E}[\|A'x\|^2] = 1$.
- We just need to show that the empirical average converges to the expectation fast enough with t .

Proof of Lemma

- For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, let Y_i be the i^{th} coordinate of AX/\sqrt{t} .

Proof of Lemma

- For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, let Y_i be the i^{th} coordinate of AX/\sqrt{t} .
- Then Y_i is Gaussian from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Proof of Lemma

- For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, let Y_i be the i^{th} coordinate of AX/\sqrt{t} .
- Then Y_i is Gaussian from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
- Let Y be $\sum_i Y_i^2$, then $\mathbf{E}[Y] = t$.

Proof of Lemma

- For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, let Y_i be the i^{th} coordinate of AX/\sqrt{t} .
- Then Y_i is Gaussian from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
- Let Y be $\sum_i Y_i^2$, then $\mathbf{E}[Y] = t$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left[\frac{\|Ax\|}{\sqrt{t}} \geq (1 + \epsilon) \right] &= \Pr [Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)^2 t] \\ &= \Pr [Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)^2 \mathbf{E}[Y]] . \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma

- For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, let Y_i be the i^{th} coordinate of AX/\sqrt{t} .
- Then Y_i is Gaussian from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
- Let Y be $\sum_i Y_i^2$, then $\mathbf{E}[Y] = t$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left[\frac{\|Ax\|}{\sqrt{t}} \geq (1 + \epsilon) \right] &= \Pr [Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)^2 t] \\ &= \Pr [Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)^2 \mathbf{E}[Y]] . \end{aligned}$$

Let's bound $\Pr[Y > \alpha]$ for any α . For any $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [Y \geq \alpha] &= \Pr [e^{\lambda Y} \geq e^{\lambda \alpha}] \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Y}]}{e^{\lambda \alpha}} = \frac{\prod_i \mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Y_i^2}]}{e^{\lambda \alpha}} . \end{aligned}$$

Moment Generating Function of χ^2 -distributions

If X_1, \dots, X_k are independent standard normal random variables, then $Q = \sum_i X_i^2$ is said to be distributed according to the χ^2 -distribution with k degrees of freedom.

Moment Generating Function of χ^2 -distributions

If X_1, \dots, X_k are independent standard normal random variables, then $Q = \sum_i X_i^2$ is said to be distributed according to the χ^2 -distribution with k degrees of freedom.

Lemma

If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda X^2}] = 1/\sqrt{1 - 2\lambda}$, for $-\infty < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$.

Moment Generating Function of χ^2 -distributions

If X_1, \dots, X_k are independent standard normal random variables, then $Q = \sum_i X_i^2$ is said to be distributed according to the χ^2 -distribution with k degrees of freedom.

Lemma

If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X^2}] = 1/\sqrt{1 - 2\lambda}$, for $-\infty < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X^2}] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x^2 - \frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2\lambda}} \int e^{-y^2/2} dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2\lambda}}.\end{aligned}$$

where we substituted $y = \sqrt{1 - 2\lambda}x$. □

Finishing Proof of Lemma

$$\Pr[Y \geq \alpha] \leq \frac{\prod_i \mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Y_i^2}]}{e^{\lambda \alpha}} = (1 - 2\lambda)^{-t/2} e^{-\lambda \alpha}.$$

Finishing Proof of Lemma

$$\Pr[Y \geq \alpha] \leq \frac{\prod_i \mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Y_i^2}]}{e^{\lambda \alpha}} = (1 - 2\lambda)^{-t/2} e^{-\lambda \alpha}.$$

Now minimize the RHS by setting $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{t}{\alpha})$, we obtain

$$\Pr[Y \geq \alpha] \leq e^{(t-\alpha)/2} (t/\alpha)^{-t/2}.$$

Now let α be $(1 + \epsilon)^2 t$, we get

$$\Pr[Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)^2 t] \leq \exp\left(-t\left(\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} - \ln(1 + \epsilon)\right)\right).$$

Finishing Proof of Lemma

$$\Pr[Y \geq \alpha] \leq \frac{\prod_i \mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Y_i^2}]}{e^{\lambda \alpha}} = (1 - 2\lambda)^{-t/2} e^{-\lambda \alpha}.$$

Now minimize the RHS by setting $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{t}{\alpha})$, we obtain

$$\Pr[Y \geq \alpha] \leq e^{(t-\alpha)/2} (t/\alpha)^{-t/2}.$$

Now let α be $(1 + \epsilon)^2 t$, we get

$$\Pr[Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)^2 t] \leq \exp\left(-t\left(\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} - \ln(1 + \epsilon)\right)\right).$$

Using basic calculus, we can show $\ln(1 + \epsilon) \leq \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$ for $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$, so we have

$$\Pr[Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)^2 t] \leq e^{-\frac{3}{4}\epsilon^2 t}.$$