## Learning Goals

- State the implementation of the Quicksort algorithm
- Define Las Vegas and Monte Carlo algorithms
- Basic analysis of the running time of randomized algorithms
- Develop intuitive understanding of the balls and bins asymptotics
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## Setup and the algorithm

- Input: A set $S$ of $n$ integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$.
- Output: Sorted array of the $n$ integers in increasing order.
- Recall: Deterministic algorithms: Merge Sort (divide and conquor, running time $O(n \log n)$.
- Recall lower bound: no deterministic algorithm can make $o(n \log n)$ comparisons in the worst case.
- One of the best known sorting algorithm - Quicksort(S): If $|S| \leq 3$, return sorted $S$. Otherwise, pick an element $a_{i}$ uniformly at random from $S$, form two sets: $S^{+}:=\left\{a_{j}: a_{j}>a_{i}\right\}$ and $S^{-}:=\left\{a_{j}: a_{j}<a_{i}\right\}$. Return Quicksort $\left(S^{-}\right), a_{j}$, Quicksort $\left(S^{+}\right)$.
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## Categorization of Randomized Algorithms

- A randomized algorithm is simply an algorithm with access to random coins.
- Two categories of randomized algorithms:
- A Las Vegas algorithm always terminates with a correct solution; its running time is a random variable.
- A Monte Carlo algorithm returns a correct solution only probabilistically; its running time may or may not be a random variable.
- Later in the semester we will also encounter algorithms that give approximations, and we reason about the quality of the approximations in a probabilistic manner.
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## Theorem

With high probability, the running time of Quicksort is $O(n \log n)$.

- Observation: In each recursion, forming $S^{+}$and $S^{-}$altogether takes $O(n)$ time.
- Intuition: if $a_{j}$ always roughly cuts $S$ in the middle, then the running time is roughly $T(n) \approx 2 T(n / 2)+O(n) \Rightarrow T(n)=O(n \log n)$.
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## Analysis of Quicksort

- The procedure can be represented by a binary tree, where each node represents a pivoting, the two children being the two subsets resulting from comparisons with the pivoting element.
- The running time for each level in total is $O(n)$, so it suffices to show that, with high probability, the height of the tree is $O(\log n)$.
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## Analysis of Quicksort

- Follow a path from the root, specified by "left" or "right" at each step.
- We say each step is "good" if the size of the array at the child is at most $\frac{3}{4}$ that of the parent; otherwise we say the step is "bad".
- There can be at most $\log _{4 / 3} n$ good steps before we are at a leaf.
- Let's bound the probability that, in $12 \log n$ steps, there are fewer than $\log _{\frac{4}{3}} n$ good steps.
- Let $X_{i}$ be the indicator variable for the $i$-th step being good, then $\mathbf{E}\left[X_{i}\right] \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and the $X_{i}$ 's are i.i.d.
- Let $X$ be $\sum_{i=1}^{12 \log n} X_{i}$. By Chernoff bound, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left[X<\frac{\log n}{\log \frac{4}{3}}\right] \leq \operatorname{Pr}[X<\mathbf{E}[X]-5 \log n] & \leq \exp \left(-2 \cdot \frac{25 \log ^{2} n}{12 \log n}\right) \\
& =n^{-25 / 6}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Analysis of Quicksort (Cont.)

- There are $n$ leaves.
- By the union bound, the probability that any leaf has depth more than $12 \log n$ is no more than $n \cdot n^{-25 / 6}=n^{-19 / 6}$.
- Therefore, with high probability, the height of the tree is bounded by $12 \log n$.
- Obviously the constants in the analysis were not fine-tuned.
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## The Negative Binomial Distribution

- In the proof above, we wanted to bound the probability that we take more than $12 \log n$ steps to see $\log _{4 / 3} n$ good ones; instead, we bounded the probability that, within $12 \log n$ steps, there are fewer than $\log _{4 / 3} n$ good ones.
- Are these two probabilities equal?
- Answer: Yes. A random variable counting the number of i.i.d. trials before seeing $k$ successful ones is said to follow the negative binomial distribution.
- The probability that such a random variable is larger than $n$ is equal to the probability that, within $n$ i.i.d. trials we have not seen $k$ successful ones.
- The statement may seem obvious, but a formal argument needs either "coupling" or some careful calculations.
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## Bins and Balls

- When discussing hashing, we considered a naïve family of hash: mapping elements of $U$ uniformly random to an address.
- In our first lecture, we considered $n$ tasks sending requests uniformly at random to one of the servers.
- Such scenarios arise very often in algorithmic analysis.
- This is often abstracted as a balls and bins model: we have $n$ balls and $m$ bins, and each ball is thrown uniformly at random to a bin.
- Any bin receives in expectation $\frac{n}{m}$ balls. If $m=n$, this is 1 .
- How about the bin that received the most balls? How many balls should we expect to see there?
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- Let's consider a particular bin. Let $X_{i}$ be the indicator variable for the event that the $i$-th ball falls in this bin.
- Then $\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{i}=1\right]=\frac{1}{n}$.
- Let $X$ be $\sum_{i} X_{i}$. Note that $\mathbf{E}[X]=1$.
- For $t>0$, we use Chernoff bound

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[X>(1+t) \mathbf{E}[X]] \leq\left(\frac{e^{t}}{(1+t)^{1+t}}\right)^{\mathbf{E}[X]} \leq\left(\frac{e}{1+t}\right)^{1+t}
$$

- We would like to find $t$ so that this probability is smaller than $n^{-2}$. Essentially we are asking what solves $x^{x}=n$.
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- To estimate the solution of $x^{x}=n$, we first take logarithm, $x \log x=\log n, \log x+\log \log x=\log \log n$.
- Note that $x<\log n$.
- We have $2 \log x \geq \log x+\log \log x=\log \log n \geq \log x$, so

$$
\frac{1}{2} x \leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \leq x \Rightarrow x=\Theta\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right) .
$$

- Let the solution to $x^{x}=n$ be $\gamma(n)$, and let $1+t=e \gamma(n)$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{e}{1+t}\right)^{1+t}=\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(n)}\right)^{e \gamma(n)}=n^{-e}<n^{-2}
$$

- By union bound, with probability at least $1-\frac{1}{n}$, no bin receives more than $e \gamma(n)=\Theta\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right)$ balls.
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- As $n$ grows, the number of balls concentrates more sharply around its means.
- E.g., take $n=16 m \log m$, with the previous notation, $\mathbf{E}[X]=16 \log m$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}[X \geq 32 \log m] & =\operatorname{Pr}[X \geq 2 \mathbf{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\mathbf{E}[X] / 3}=m^{-16 / 3}<\frac{1}{m^{2}} \\
\operatorname{Pr}[X \leq 8 \log m] & =\operatorname{Pr}\left[X \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}[X]\right] \leq e^{-\mathbf{E}[X] / 8}=\frac{1}{m^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

For $n=\Omega(m \log m)$, with high probability, the number of balls every bin receives is between half and twice the average.

